Iterated Prisoner's Dilemma contains strategies that dominate any evolutionary opponent

William H Press and Freeman J Dyson. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2012, 109(26): 10409–10413.

Presented by Wang Jiasheng

Outline

- Preliminaries
- Zero-Determinant Strategies
- Dominate opponent
- Discussion

Iterated Prisoner's Dilemma (IPD)

- (T, R, P, S)
- T > R > P > S
- 2R > T + S



Memory One

 The same game (same allowed moves and same payoff matrices) is indefinitely repeated: Shortest-Memory Player Sets the Rules of the Game



Strategy vector

- p = (p1, p2, p3, p4) for xy∈(cc,cd,dc,dd)
- q = (q1, q2, q3, q4) for yx∈(cc,cd,dc,dd)



Markov transition matrix

 $\begin{bmatrix} p_1q_1 & p_1(1-q_1) & (1-p_1)q_1 & (1-p_1)(1-q_1) \\ p_2q_3 & p_2(1-q_3) & (1-p_2)q_3 & (1-p_2)(1-q_3) \\ p_3q_2 & p_3(1-q_2) & (1-p_3)q_2 & (1-p_3)(1-q_2) \\ p_4q_4 & p_4(1-q_4) & (1-p_4)q_4 & (1-p_4)(1-q_4) \end{bmatrix}$

- Markov matrix (all element > 0, each row adds to 1 => have a (maximum) eigenvalue 1)
- the matrix M' = M I is singular, with thus zero determinant.
- The stationary vector v of the Markov matrix: v^TM=v^T; or v^TM'=0
- Adj(M')M' = det(M')I = 0

Markov transition matrix





$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{f} \equiv D(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{f})$$

$$= \det \begin{bmatrix} -1 + p_1 q_1 & -1 + p_1 \\ p_2 q_3 & -1 + p_2 \\ p_3 q_2 & p_3 \\ p_4 q_4 & p_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 + q_1 & f_1 \\ q_3 & f_2 \\ -1 + q_2 & f_3 \\ q_4 & f_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\equiv \widetilde{\mathbf{p}} \equiv \widetilde{\mathbf{q}}$$

Zero-Determinant Strategies



$$s_X = \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{S}_X}{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{1}} = \frac{D(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{S}_X)}{D(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{1})}$$
$$s_Y = \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{S}_Y}{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{1}} = \frac{D(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{S}_Y)}{D(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{1})},$$
$$\mathbf{S}_X = (R, S, T, P)$$
$$\mathbf{S}_Y = (R, T, S, P)$$

X Unilaterally Sets Y's Score

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}} = \beta \mathbf{S}_{Y} + \gamma \mathbf{1}$$

$$p_{2} = \frac{p_{1}(T-P) - (1+p_{4})(T-R)}{R-P}$$

$$p_{3} = \frac{(1-p_{1})(P-S) + p_{4}(R-S)}{R-P}$$

$$s_{Y} = \frac{(1-p_{1})P + p_{4}R}{(1-p_{1}) + p_{4}}.$$

X Tries to Set Her Own Score

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{p}} = \alpha \mathbf{S}_{X} + \gamma \mathbf{1}$ $p_{2} = \frac{(1+p_{4})(R-S) - p_{1}(P-S)}{R-P} \ge 1$ $p_{3} = \frac{-(1-p_{1})(T-P) - p_{4}(T-R)}{R-P} \le 0.$ $\mathbf{p} = (1, 1, 0, 0)$



 $p_3 = \phi$

 $p_4 = 0$

X Demands and Gets an **Extortionate Share**

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{p}} &= \phi \big[\big(\mathbf{S}_X - P \mathbf{1} \big) - \chi \big(\mathbf{S}_Y - P \mathbf{1} \big) \big], \\ p_1 &= 1 - \phi \big(1 - 1 \big) \frac{R - P}{P - S} \\ p_2 &= 1 - \phi \Big(1 + \chi \frac{T - P}{P - S} \Big) \\ p_3 &= \phi \Big(\chi + \frac{T - P}{P - S} \Big) \\ p_4 &= 0 \\ 0 < \phi \leq \frac{(P - S)}{(P - S) + \chi (T - P)}. \end{split} \qquad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{p} &= [1 - 2\phi (\chi - 1), 1 - \phi (4\chi + 1), \phi (\chi + 4), 0] \\ s_X &= \frac{2 + 13\chi}{2 + 3\chi}, \quad s_Y = \frac{12 + 3\chi}{2 + 3\chi}. \end{aligned}$$

Discussion: X Demands and Gets an Extortionate Share

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}} = \phi[(\mathbf{S}_X - P\mathbf{1}) - \chi(\mathbf{S}_Y - P\mathbf{1})],$$

tends to mutual defection

$$\tilde{p} = \phi[(S_X - R1) - \chi(S_Y - R1)]$$

tends to mutual cooperation

Discussion: A ZD player meets her opponent

X	Y	Result
ZD	A simple evolution player	No dilemma
ZD	An evolution player with a theory in mind	Become an ultimatum game
ZD	ZD	May leads to negotiate

